

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/466,387 12/17/1999		PAT L. GORDON	3581/004	1111
7	590 12/31/2001			
WALTER M	EGBERT III	EXAMINER		
FISH & NEAV		SCHAETZLE, KENNEDY		
	OF THE AMERICAS			
NEW YORK,	NY 100201104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	-
		DATE MAILED: 12/31/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							Ĺ	
•		Α	Application N .		Applicant(s)			
		C	09/466,387		GORDON, PAT L.			
	Οπις	Action Summary	E	xamin r		Art Unit		
_				ennedy Schaetzle		3762		
Period fo	The MAIL or Reply	ING DATE of this commu	nication appear	rs on the cover sl	heet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	MAILING D ensions of time re- SIX (6) MONTH e period for reply D period for reply are to reply within reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD IN COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUN	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. 30) days, a reply with statutory period will al y will, by statute, cau). In no event, however nin the statutory minimu ppty and will expire SIX se the application to be	may a reply be time of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this con	nmunication.	
1)	Responsi	ve to communication(s) f	iled on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action	on is FINAL.	2b) This a	ction is non-final	l .			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Clair	ms						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1	1-67 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) _	is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1	<u>-67</u> are subject to restrict	on and/or elec	tion requirement				
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[] 1	The specific	cation is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10) 🔲 🧻	The drawing	g(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	or b) objected t	o by the Exam	niner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) 🔲 🗀	The propose	ed drawing correction file	d on is:	a) ☐ approved t	o) disapprov	ed by the Examiner.		
		d, corrected drawings are re						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.	S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowled	gment is made of a claim	for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)	·(d) or (f).		
a)[□All b)□	Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certi	fied copies of the priority	documents ha	ve been receive	d.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 0	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
				•			m=1:==4:==>	
		ment is made of a claim f				•	pplication).	
15) 🗌 A	cknowledg	nslation of the foreign lar ment is made of a claim t						
Attachment	•							
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftspers	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (P ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		5) 🔲 Not	ice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s). tent Application (PTO-1		

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-38, drawn to a method and apparatus for neuromuscular stimulation by applying current or voltage controlled pulses, classified in class 607, subclass 40.
 - II. Claims 39-67, drawn to a method and apparatus for neuromuscular stimulation by applying a series of pulses during a treatment period, classified in class 607, subclass 40.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as for use with fixed current or fixed voltage stimulators absent any feedback circuitry. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. The examiner notes the existence of the following species/subspecies of invention: (a) the species wherein the stored parameter of the programmable calendar is a time period during which a series of electrical pulses are applied to neuromuscular tissue (within this species are the subspecies involving discontinuing a series of pulses during a second duration and discontinuing a series of pulses at a second time); (b) the species wherein the parameter is a time period corresponding to the pulse width for each pulse; (c) the species wherein the parameter is a time period corresponding to the pulse interval between pulses; (d) the species wherein the parameter is a voltage corresponding to the pulse height for each pulse; (e) the species involving the application of a current-controlled pulse to the neuromuscular tissue; (f) the species involving the application of a voltage-controlled pulse to the neuromuscular tissue.

Art Unit: 3762

The examiner is not requiring an election between species, however, since the above listed embodiments are not considered to be patentably distinct. If the applicant disagrees with this assessment, then he is required to state so on record and elect a single disclosed embodiment for examination on the merits in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 121. Currently no claim is considered generic. If the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the absence of any such statement on record by the applicant will be considered an admission that the species are not patentably distinct and may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kennedy Schaetzle whose telephone number is (703) 308-2211. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 308-0758 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

KJS

December 27, 2001

CENNEDY SCHAETZY

2-27-01